

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/705,309	11/12/2003	Jeffrey Rogers	71-846-1 4432	
7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Steven W. Weinrieb SCHWARTZ & WEINRIEB Crystal Plaza One, Suite 1109 2001 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202			YEAGLEY, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/705,309	ROGERS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel Yeagley	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 CSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	ovember 2003.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 20 and 23-33 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23,24,27 and 28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 20,25,26 and 29-33 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	_				
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/03.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure "2"; as noted on page 4, should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 2. The drawings are objected to because figures 3, 4 and 8 through 12 contain numerous numerical characters with their leader lines <u>not</u> connected to an element or missing an arrowhead depicting its components.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 27, line 17, misspelled word "moutilng".

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,309

Art Unit: 3611

8. Claims 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a first mounting bracket 326 fixedly mounted upon the first hinge means 314, does not reasonably provide enablement for "a first mounting bracket means 326 fixedly mounted upon said tow bar means 224" as cited in lines 3-4 and lines 22-23 of each claim. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant should note that the specification failed to clearly recite which elements are to be considered within the term of each means function and therefore examiner could only guess what the meets and bounds are for each means recitation in the claims.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Opolo '419.

Opolo discloses a combination of a towed vehicle interconnected to a towing vehicle by a hitch mechanism (figure 1), wherein the hitch mechanism comprises a hitch connector 11 shown operatively interconnecting a tow bar means 30 of a towed vehicle 35 to a mounting bar means 16 from a towing vehicle (not shown), such that the hitch connector comprises a first hinge

Art Unit: 3611

means 25 disposed at a first end portion of the hitch connector and a second hinge means (at numeral 14) which is disposed at a second end portion of the hitch connector *whereby* the hinge means permit the towed vehicle to be movably elevated independently of the towing vehicle as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 20, 25, 26 and 29 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claims 24 and 28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rogers et al '548, KOBER '703, Meyer '055, Knight et al '016, Jacobs '279, Sedig '626, Minter '944, Philipponi '861 and Meek et al '325 show a pivotal hitch mechanism.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Yeagley whose telephone number is **703 305 0838**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri; first Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,309

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley D Morris can be reached on 703 - 308 - 0629. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

In the near future; because of a pending move of the examining corps to a new campus,

the examiner and SPE telephone numbers will change to 571 - 272 - 6655 and 571 - 272 - 6651:

respectively.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.Y.

LESLEY D. MORRIS

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600